

## Sample Size Estimation for Longitudinal Studies

### Comparison of two groups at a single timepoint

Number of subjects ( $N$ ) in each of two groups (Fleiss, 1986):

$$N = \frac{2(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2 \sigma^2}{(\mu_1 - \mu_2)^2} = \frac{2(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2}{[(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\sigma]^2}$$

- $z_\alpha$  is the value of the standardized score cutting off  $\alpha/2$  proportion of each tail of a standard normal distribution (for a two-tailed hypothesis test)
- $z_\beta$  is the value of the standardized score cutting off the upper  $\beta$  proportion
- $\sigma^2$  is the assumed common variance in the two groups
- $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is the difference in means of the two groups

1

Some common choices:

- $z_\alpha = 1.645, 1.96, 2.576$  for 2-tailed .10, .05, and .01 test
- $z_\beta = .842, 1.036, 1.282$  for power = .8, .85, and .90
- effect size =  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\sigma = .2, .5, .8$  for “small,” “medium,” and “large” effects (Cohen, 1988)

2

### Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- effect size  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\sigma = .5$

$$N = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2}{(.5)^2} = 15.7/.25 = 62.8$$

$\Rightarrow$  need 63 subjects in each group

notice  $N \approx (4/\delta)^2$ , where  $\delta$  = effect size

3

### Comparison of two groups across time

consistent difference across time

Number of subjects  $N$  in each of two groups (Diggle *et al.*, 2002)

$$N = \frac{2(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2 (1 + (n - 1)\rho)}{n[(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\sigma]^2}$$

- $\sigma^2$  is the assumed common variance in the two groups
- $\mu_1 - \mu_2$  is the difference in means of the two groups
- $n$  is the number of timepoints
- $\rho$  is the assumed correlation of the repeated measures

4

### Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- effect size  $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)/\sigma = .5$
- $n = 2$  timepoints
- $\rho = .6$  correlation of repeated measures

$$N = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2(1 + (2 - 1) \times .6)}{2 \times (.5)^2} = \frac{(15.7)(1.6)}{(2)(.25)} = 50.3$$

⇒ need approximately 50 subjects in each group

if  $\rho = 0$  then  $N = 31.4$  (cross-sectional)

if  $\rho = 1$  then  $N = 62.8$  (one-timepoint)

5

### Comparing two groups across timepoints - balanced case

As in Overall and Doyle (1994), sample size of contrast  $\Psi_c$  of group population means across  $n$  timepoints:

$$N = \frac{2(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2 \sigma_c^2}{\Psi_c^2}$$

with

$$\Psi_c = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i (\mu_{1i} - \mu_{2i})$$

$$\sigma_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} c_i c_j \sigma_{ij}$$

- $\sigma_i^2$  = common variance in the two groups at timepoint  $i$
- $\sigma_{ij}$  = common covariance in the two groups between timepoints  $i$  and  $j$
- $c_i$  = contrast applied at timepoint  $i$

6

If the sample size is known and the degree of power is to be determined, the formula can be re-expressed as:

$$z_\beta = \sqrt{\frac{N \Psi_c^2}{2 \sigma_c^2}} - z_\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_c^2}{V(\hat{\Psi}_c)}} - z_\alpha$$

where the variance of the sample contrast  $\hat{\Psi}_c$  equals

$$V(\hat{\Psi}_c) = \frac{2}{N} \sigma_c^2$$

7

### Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- $n = 2$  timepoints
- variance-covariance of repeated measures

$$V(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .6 \\ .6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

8

### I. Average group difference over time

- mean difference  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = .5$  at both  $t1$  and  $t2$
- time-related contrasts:  $c_1 = c_2 = 1/2$  (i.e., average over time)

$$\Psi_c = \frac{1}{2}(.5) + \frac{1}{2}(.5) = .5$$

$$\sigma_c^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(.6) = .8$$

contrast effect size  $\delta = \Psi_c/\sigma_c = .5/\sqrt{.8} = .56$

$$N = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2}{(.56)^2} = 50$$

9

Notice

- if  $\rho = 1$ , then  $\sigma_c^2 = 1$ ,  $\delta = .5$ ,  $N = 63$  (one-timepoint)
- if  $\rho = 0$ , then  $\sigma_c^2 = 1/2$ ,  $\delta = 1$ ,  $N = 16$  (cross-sectional)

where  $\rho$  is the assumed correlation of the repeated measures

10

### II. Group difference across time

- mean difference  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$  at  $t1$  and  $.5$  at  $t2$
- time-related contrasts:  $c_1 = -1$  and  $c_2 = 1$

$$\Psi_c = -1(0) + 1(.5) = .5$$

$$\sigma_c^2 = (-1)^2(1)^2 + (1)^2(1)^2 + 2(-1)(1)(.6) = .8$$

contrast effect size  $\delta = \Psi_c/\sigma_c = .5/\sqrt{.8} = .56$

$$N = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2}{(.56)^2} = 50$$

11

Notice

- if  $N$  was calculated based on  $t2$  only, then  $N = 63$   
 $H_0 : \mu_{12} = \mu_{22} \neq H_0 : (\mu_{12} - \mu_{11}) = (\mu_{22} - \mu_{21})$
- if  $\rho = 1$ , then  $\sigma_c^2 = 0$
- if  $\rho = .9$ , then  $\sigma_c^2 = .2$ ,  $\delta = 1.12$ ,  $N = 14$
- if  $\rho = 0$ , then  $\sigma_c^2 = 1/2$ ,  $\delta = .25$ ,  $N = 63$  cross-sectional

12

For average group effect over time

- as  $\rho \uparrow$ , then  $N \uparrow$

since it's a between-subjects comparison of averages

$\Rightarrow$  less subjects needed if the averages are based on more independent data

For group difference across time

- as  $\rho \uparrow$ , then  $N \downarrow$

since it's a between-subjects comparison of a within-subjects comparison

$\Rightarrow$  less subjects needed if the subject differences (i.e., pre to post) are based on more reliable data

## More than 2 timepoints

- mean differences across time
- var-covar and/or correlation of repeated measures
- time-related contrast

3 timepoints

$t1$	$t2$	$t3$	
$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	average across time
-1	0	1	linear trend
1	-2	1	quadratic trend

trend coefficients from tables of orthogonal polynomials

4 timepoints

$t1$	$t2$	$t3$	$t4$	
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	average across time
-3	-1	1	3	linear trend
1	-1	-1	1	quadratic trend
-1	3	-3	1	cubic trend

often investigators expect

- overall group difference, or
- group by (approximately) linear time interaction

## What about Attrition?

- could use  $N$  from calculations as  $N$  for last timepoint
  - e.g.,  $N = 50$ , retention at last timepoint = .9
    - $\Rightarrow$  start the study with  $50/.9 = 56$  subjects
- can build the retention rate information into the sample size formula
  - Hedeker, Gibbons, & Waternaux (1999), *JEBS*, 24:70-93
  - program RMASS2 available at [www.uic.edu/~hedeker/works.html](http://www.uic.edu/~hedeker/works.html)

## Comparing two groups across timepoints unbalanced case

Denote sample size in first group as  $N_{1i}$  and second group as  $N_{2i}$  at timepoint  $i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, n$ ). The variance of the sample contrast  $\hat{\Psi}_c$  equals

$$V(\hat{\Psi}_c) = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{N_{1i}} + \frac{1}{N_{2i}} \right) + 2 \sum_{i < j} c_i c_j \sigma_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{1i} N_{1j}}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{2i} N_{2j}}} \right)$$

To calculate power for the sample contrast,

$$z_\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_c^2}{V(\hat{\Psi}_c)}} - z_\alpha$$

17

Use sample size in first group at first timepoint ( $N_{11}$ ) as a reference

- define retention rates for this group as  $r_{1i}$  for timepoints  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , which indicate the proportion of  $N_1$  subjects observed at timepoint  $i$   
(note that  $r_{11} = 1$  and  $N_{1i} = r_{1i} N_{11}$ )
- similarly, define  $N_{21}$  and  $r_{2i}$  for group two

Then,

$$V(\hat{\Psi}_c) = \frac{1}{N_{11}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{r_{1i}} + \frac{1}{r_{2i}} \frac{N_{11}}{N_{21}} \right) + 2 \sum_{i < j} c_i c_j \sigma_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{1i} r_{1j}}} + \frac{N_{11}}{N_{21}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{2i} r_{2j}}} \right) \right]$$

18

and, denoting the ratio of sample sizes at the first timepoint ( $N_{11}/N_{21}$ ) as  $N_{.1}$ , then

$$V(\hat{\Psi}_c) = \frac{1}{N_{11}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 \left( \frac{1}{r_{1i}} + \frac{N_{.1}}{r_{2i}} \right) + 2 \sum_{i < j} c_i c_j \sigma_{ij} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{1i} r_{1j}}} + N_{.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_{2i} r_{2j}}} \right) \right]$$

19

If the retention rates are equal for the two groups across time  $r_{1i} = r_{2i} = r_i$ , then

$$V(\hat{\Psi}_c) = \frac{N_{.1} + 1}{N_{11}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i^2 \sigma_i^2}{r_i} + 2 \sum_{i < j} \frac{c_i c_j \sigma_{ij}}{\sqrt{r_i r_j}} \right] = \frac{N_{.1} + 1}{N_{11}} \sigma_{rc}^2$$

where  $\sigma_{rc}^2$  extends  $\sigma_c^2$  given earlier, namely

$$\sigma_c^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^2 \sigma_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i < j} c_i c_j \sigma_{ij}$$

for the case where sample sizes vary across timepoints (although group retention rates are assumed equal)

20

To calculate power for any of the above variance formulations of the sample contrast,

$$z_\beta = \sqrt{\frac{\Psi_c^2}{V(\hat{\Psi}_c)}} - z_\alpha$$

In particular, for the case of common retention rates across time

$$z_\beta = \sqrt{\left(\frac{N_{11}}{N_{.1} + 1}\right) \frac{\Psi_c^2}{\sigma_{rc}^2}} - z_\alpha$$

where  $N_{.1}$  is the sample size ratio between groups

21

Re-expressing, the number of subjects needed in the first group at the first timepoint equals:

$$N_{11} = \frac{(N_{.1} + 1)(z_\alpha + z_\beta)^2 \sigma_{rc}^2}{\Psi_c^2}$$

Based on the sample size ratio between groups  $N_{.1}$  and retention rates  $r_i$ , required sample size at each timepoint for both groups can be calculated

22

### Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- $n = 2$  timepoints, retention rates  $r_1 = 1$  and  $r_2 = .8$
- sample size ratio  $N_{.1} = 1$
- variance-covariance of repeated measures

$$V(y) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & .6 \\ .6 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

23

### I. Average group difference over time

- mean difference  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = .5$  at both  $t_1$  and  $t_2$
- time-related contrasts:  $c_1 = c_2 = 1/2$

$$\Psi_c = \frac{1}{2}(.5) + \frac{1}{2}(.5) = .5$$

$$\sigma_{rc}^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 + \frac{(1/2)^2}{.8} + 2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(.6)/\sqrt{.8} = .9$$

contrast effect size  $\delta = \Psi_c/\sigma_{rc} = .5/\sqrt{.9} = .53$

$$N_{11} = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2}{(.53)^2} = 56.4$$

Note:if  $r_2 = 1$  then  $N_{11} = 50$

24

## II. Group difference across time

- mean difference  $\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0$  at  $t_1$  and  $.5$  at  $t_2$
- time-related contrasts:  $c_1 = -1$  and  $c_2 = 1$

$$\Psi_c = -1(0) + 1(.5) = .5$$

$$\sigma_{rc}^2 = (-1)^2(1)^2 + \frac{(1)^2(1)^2}{.8} + 2(-1)(1)(.6)/\sqrt{.8} = .91$$

$$\text{contrast effect size } \delta = \Psi_c/\sigma_{rc} = .5/\sqrt{.91} = .525$$

$$N_{11} = \frac{2(1.96 + .842)^2}{(.525)^2} = 57.1$$

Note: if  $r_2 = 1$  then  $N_{11} = 50$

25

## Dichotomous outcomes

### Comparison of two groups at a single timepoint

Number of subjects ( $N$ ) in each of two groups (Fleiss, 1981):

$$N = \frac{[z_\alpha(2\bar{p}\bar{q})^{1/2} + z_\beta(p_1q_1 + p_2q_2)^{1/2}]^2}{(p_1 - p_2)^2}$$

- $p_1$  = response proportion in group 1 ( $q_1 = 1 - p_1$ )
- $p_2$  = response proportion in group 2 ( $q_2 = 1 - p_2$ )
- $\bar{p} = (p_1 + p_2)/2$
- $\bar{q} = 1 - \bar{p}$

26

## Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- $p_1 = .5$  and  $p_2 = .7$

$$N = \frac{[1.96(2 \times .6 \times .4)^{1/2} + .842(.5 \times .5 + .7 \times .3)^{1/2}]^2}{(.5 - .7)^2} = 93.03$$

27

## Dichotomous outcomes - longitudinal case

The number of subjects ( $N$ ) in each of two groups for a consistent difference in proportions  $p_1 - p_2$  between two groups across  $n$  timepoints (Diggle *et al.*, (2002):

$$N = \frac{[z_\alpha(2\bar{p}\bar{q})^{1/2} + z_\beta(p_1q_1 + p_2q_2)^{1/2}]^2 (1 + (n-1)\rho)}{n(p_1 - p_2)^2}$$

- $p_1$  = response proportion in group 1 ( $q_1 = 1 - p_1$ )
- $p_2$  = response proportion in group 2 ( $q_2 = 1 - p_2$ )
- $\bar{p} = (p_1 + p_2)/2$
- $\bar{q} = 1 - \bar{p}$
- $\rho$  is the common correlation across the  $n$  observations

28

### Example

- $z_\alpha = 1.96$  2-tailed .05 hypothesis test
- $z_\beta = .842$  power = .8
- $n = 2$  timepoints
- correlation of repeated outcomes = .6
- $p_1 = .5$  and  $p_2 = .7$

$$N = \frac{\left[1.96(2 \times .6 \times .4)^{\frac{1}{2}} + .842(.5 \times .5 + .7 \times .3)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]^2 (1 + (2 - 1).6)}{2(.5 - .7)^2}$$
$$= 74.42$$

if  $\rho = 0$  then  $N = 46.51$  (cross-sectional)

if  $\rho = 1$  then  $N = 93.03$  (one-timepoint)

### Ordinal outcomes

- methods not as developed
- use methods for continuous outcomes, but adjust the detectable effect sizes by an efficiency loss (*e.g.*, 80%)
  - Armstrong & Sloan (1989, Amer Jrn of Epid) report efficiency losses between 89% to 99% comparing an ordinal to continuous outcome, depending on the number of categories and distribution within the ordinal categories
  - Strömberg (1996, Amer Jrn of Epid) report efficiency losses between 87% to 97% comparing an ordinal outcome with 3 or 4 categories to one with 5 categories