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**U.S. Stroke Belt**


Lack of Stroke/Neurology Expertise

- Poor Quality of Stroke Care:
  - Low use of tPA < 2%
  - Momentum to improve quality
  - JCAHO Stroke Center Certification
  - Legislative and State Health Department statewide plans: (Mass, NC, NY, NJ, Fla, Ga)
  - National Initiatives: Covarrubias registry, STOP Stroke Act
- Hospital Payment for Stroke Care TRIPLED in 2006

**But**

- “We can’t get anyone (neurologists) to take ED call” – Hospital CEO
- “We have 100 rural hospitals without any Neurologist coverage. We need REACH” – NY State Health Department
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**Georgia Facts**

- Population 9,072,576*
- 29.6% Black
- 57,906 sq mi (largest state east of Mississippi river)
- 141.4 per/sq mi
- First in peanuts, Vidalia onions
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**Stroke System Models**

1. “Cincinnati” model: stroke specialist drives to urban/suburban hospitals
2. Telephone “drip and ship”
3. Helicopter “ship and drip”
4. Telestroke
5. Telestroke with helicopter
The problem in Georgia prior to 2003

- tPA not used in rural hospitals—not even available in many pharmacies
- No neurologists in these rural areas and ED physicians not comfortable using tPA
- Lawsuit of a Georgia rural hospital for not treating with tPA
- 2/3 of MCG stroke patients were first presenting to rural EDs and then getting transferred TOO LATE to be treated with tPA

Medicolegal issues

- Lawsuits for “failing” to treat with tPA
- American Academy of Emergency Medicine and Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians have position statements that “tPA is not the standard of care for ischemic stroke”

Solution devised

- Basic principle: Start treatment in rural ED and provide the right care at the right place by the right doctor
- Develop a “user friendly” web-based telestroke system
- None on the market so we custom built our own and called it REACH
- Designed with help/advice of staff in rural EDs

Case presentation

- 62 yo WF with history of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation
- On the way to exercise class, falls and has left sided weakness and severe neglect
- EMS brought to local community hospital
- Evaluated by telestroke (REACH)

CT scan within one hour of onset of symptoms

Remote Evaluation of Acute IsChemic Stroke:  www.reachmco.com
Visitors website:  visitors.reachmco.com
Case presentation

- Neglect and left hemiparesis
- Bruise over left orbit
- Glucose 76
- NIH Stroke Scale Score of 18

REACH Mobile Cart in ED

Remote evaluation cart with Axis 2130 Pan/Tilt/Zoom camera, 1.5 GHz Dell PC workstation and LCD monitor, A Linksys WET11 wireless bridge, Netgear 5 port switch, and universal power supply

Treatment

- Treated with intravenous tPA at 1 hour 50 minutes from time of onset
- Transferred to MCG
- NIH 13 (left leg stronger)
- At 4 hours 30 minutes taken to interventional suite

“Yes” to tPA and “No” to tPA screens at rural sites
**Follow up**
- Reperfusion of right MCA territory by 7 hours and 30 minutes
- Listening to Beethoven and conversing
- Recovers to NIH of 8 (weakness of left arm)
- Discharged to Walton Rehab Hospital

**IV tPA Plus**
- Bridging with IV tPA to IA tPA
- Merci Device and other mechanical thrombolysis methods
- Use of transcranial doppler to use ultrasound-enhanced thrombolysis

**REACH has “flattened” East Georgia**

**REACH MCG**
- 74 patients treated at 9 rural hospitals with tPA
- Mean onset to treatment time (OTT) of 126 minutes
- ¼ treated under 90 minutes; ½ under 2 hours
- 2 symptomatic ICH (2/74) 3%

Earlier treatment with tPA leads to better outcomes (Lancet 2004)
### Comparison of Onset to Treatment times (OTT) between systems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>OTT</th>
<th>&lt;90 min (%)</th>
<th>&lt; 2 hr (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>REACH (N=50)</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCG ED (n=26)</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Published stroke systems</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>&lt;5-10</td>
<td>28 (Houston)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### National network of hubs and spokes

- **Telestroke can “flatten” any state**
- **Flatten the U.S.: Quality Stroke Care Anywhere**

**NY State Rural Telestroke system enabled by REACH**
**Combination treatment with tPA**
- Reduce risk of ICH associated with tPA
- Extend window of tPA beyond 3 hours
- Added protective effect

**Telestroke and Clinical Trials**
- Can telestroke be used to recruit and enroll patients into clinical stroke trials?

**MCG Experience in NovoSeven Clinical Trial (FAST)**
- 9 patients enrolled
- 6 identified and consent process started via REACH telestroke system in rural hospitals
- Helicopter sent to transport

**Does telestroke lead to better outcomes?**
- Studies to date are limited
- Difficult to distinguish specific effect of telestroke from staff education

**TEMPiS in Bavaria**
*(Audebert HJ, Lancet 2006;9:742-8)*
- Open intervention trial of telestroke-directed care vs control of 3122 patients
- 5 community hospitals in telestroke network vs 5 control community hospitals
- Treatment in telestroke group had better outcomes (44% vs 54% poor outcome at 3 mos)

**Ischemic stroke and Intracerebral hemorrhage**
- tPA
- Short window (3-4 hrs)
- Fibrinolytic
- Requires system of stroke care
- Activated Factor VII
- Short window (3-4 hrs)
- Hemostatic
- Requires system of stroke care
Most urgent needs

- Systems of stroke care organized by state health departments
- Every American should have quality stroke care regardless of geography
- This will best be achieved with telestroke systems

“Fixed” vs Web-based Telestroke

- Fixed uses dedicated ISDN lines
- Consultant must travel to dedicated sites
- Tempis (Bavaria)
- Mass General, BST
- Fast access
- REACH, BF (USCD)

REACH 2.0

- Combines 2 way video, CT viewing (PACS) and decision support (e.g. NIHSS, “prompts”, tPA dosing) on ONE SCREEN
- Built in database, reports, record retrieval
- Designed for “hub and spoke” for regional stroke specialists
**Telestroke Issues**

- State licensure issues and credentialing
- Reimbursement (NY State Medicaid solving problem in NY)
- Medicolegal (advantages of recording, documentation)
- Cost

**Conclusions**

- Telestroke can “flatten” stroke care and bring a stroke specialist to ANY rural, community hospital
- Web-based telestroke systems are “fast” with potentially very short onset to treatment times
- Telestroke offers a solution for underserved areas (frontier, rural, suburban, and urban)